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Reference 1 - 0.04% Coverage

I have a suspicion your data can change significantly.

Reference 2 - 0.03% Coverage

I was not sure what you used as your data source.

Reference 3 - 0.05% Coverage

I still think it is unlikely that disease is causing the population decline

Reference 4 - 0.09% Coverage

I did find it confusing though that you used the same color palette to for the boroughs in the map as you did for the races in the stacked bar.

Reference 5 - 0.05% Coverage

I was also concerned about the colors repeating for different purposes.

Reference 6 - 0.08% Coverage

I’m not sure of the value of looking at the demographic breakdown in the boroughs and how it relates to SAT scores.

Reference 7 - 0.05% Coverage

However, I do not agree with you that the energy use in Asia is particular high.

Reference 8 - 0.05% Coverage

Not a good idea to have vertical scroll in an interactive infographic

Reference 9 - 0.09% Coverage

I don’t really agree with the chart option you gave because it looks like a scatterplot without being one which can be confusing

Reference 10 - 0.05% Coverage

I wonder if using red for the whole visualisation was the right choice.

Reference 11 - 0.06% Coverage

But... but there’s a problem.you have not thought about other risks for the little mouse.

Reference 12 - 0.06% Coverage

Not sure if rank adds extra information, since audience can detect rank from scoring as well.

Reference 13 - 0.03% Coverage

I just wondering if you really need all the graphs.

Reference 14 - 0.06% Coverage

I have some doubts about the numbers, those for the different ages seem much too high to me.

Reference 15 - 0.10% Coverage

I am not sure if average of an index is the best way to show the data although I can see how it could show places with a tendency for being less or more explosive.